September 20, 2019

The Honorable Seema Verma
Administrator
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Hubert H. Humphrey Building, Room 445-G
200 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC  20201

Dear Administrator Verma:

The undersigned physician organizations support the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services’ (CMS) Patients Over Paperwork initiative and applaud CMS for tackling the challenging issue of prior authorization (PA) as part of your efforts to reduce administrative burdens in health care. Physician practices report completing an average of 31 PAs per physician per week. This workload consumes 14.9 hours (nearly 2 business days) each week of physician and staff time and reflects time that would be better spent with patients. More importantly, PA is negatively impacting patient care. Over one-quarter (28%) of physicians report that PA has led to a serious adverse event (e.g., disability, hospitalization, death) for a patient in their care.

We do, however, have strong concerns that CMS may be focusing on automation as the only vehicle for implementing PA reforms. We are aware that CMS has invested heavily in the Da Vinci Project, which leverages technology to facilitate electronic exchange of clinical data by extracting information from physicians’ electronic health records (EHRs). While Da Vinci holds promise, there are a series of issues with exclusively relying on technology to address the burdens of PA. For example, solely concentrating on process automation may set the stage for increased PA volume because document exchange will be easier and faster. Patient care delays will continue, as manual review of medical documentation is often required following the instantaneous electronic exchange of data. Furthermore, Da Vinci will allow payers unprecedented access to EHRs. Protections are needed to prevent plans from inappropriately accessing patient information, coercing physicians into using technology (e.g., through contracts), or interfering with medical decision making. Lastly, Da Vinci represents nascent technologies that have yet to be widely implemented. Therefore, the costs and the timeframe availability across EHR vendors remain unclear. Of considerable concern, Da Vinci likely will not offer relief from PA for small practices in the near future.

Automation is important, but it reflects only one of five major reforms we believe are needed to address the significant problems caused by PA. While we understand there may be a role for PA, we believe it must be right-sized and used judiciously. We strongly urge CMS to implement a comprehensive strategy to reduce the harms and burdens of PA by facilitating payer adoption of the following principles:
Selective application of PA to only “outliers”;
Review/adjustment of PA lists to remove services/drugs that represent low-value PA;
Transparency of PA requirements and their clinical basis to patients and physicians;
Protections of patient continuity of care; and
Automation to improve PA and process efficiency.

We would welcome the opportunity to work with CMS to identify ways technology can help advance all of these reform goals. Under your guidance, CMS could be the leader that is critically needed to address the problematic issue for patients and physicians of PA.
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